| Motivation | Motivation | Motivation | Introduction | The Model | The |
|------------|------------|------------|--------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----|
| | | | | | | | | | | |

Private and Government Banks: A DSGE Approach

Alexis Montecinos Bravo

MIT Sloan School of Management

November 2017

Alexis Montecinos Bravo (MIT Sloan) Private and Government Banks: A DSGE Approach

November 2017 1 / 28

3

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Overview

- 1. Motivation.
- 2. Introduction.
- 3. The Model.
- 4. Results and Simulations.
- 5. Further Research.
- 6. Conclusions.

Alexis Montecinos Bravo (MIT Sloan) Private and Government Banks: A DSGE Approach

Motivation

- Public and private banks are different according to the empirical literature. La Porta et al (2002), Levy-Yeyati et al (2004), Sapienza (2004), Micco and Panizza (2006), Micco et al (2007), Altavilla et al (2016)
- The main differences are: They react differently during recessions. They have a different mandate, operate in a different political environment, have different governance and have portfolios tied to government debt.
- At the same time, they are big enough, in aggregate (assets or loans) that they likely merit consideration when modeling economic fluctuations and crisis.

- 4 同 6 4 日 6 4 日 6

3 / 28



Motivation

Table: Government banks, share of assets of the top 10 banks. La Porta et al (2002).

| Country | Share |
|-------------|-------|
| Argentina | 60.5 |
| Belgium | 27.6 |
| Bolivia | 18.5 |
| Brazil | 31.7 |
| Chile | 19.7 |
| China | 99.5 |
| Colombia | 53.9 |
| Costa Rica | 90.9 |
| Ecuador | 40.6 |
| Egypt | 88.6 |
| El Salvador | 26.4 |
| France | 17.3 |
| Germany | 36.4 |
| Guatemala | 22.2 |
| Honduras | 29.9 |
| Israel | 64.6 |
| Italy | 36 |
| Nicaragua | 63.4 |
| Paraguay | 48 |
| Peru | 26.5 |
| Poland | 84.3 |
| Portugal | 25.7 |
| Taiwan | 76.5 |
| Thailand | 17.1 |
| Turkey | 56.5 |
| Uruguay | 68.8 |

Alexis Montecinos Bravo (MIT Sloan) Private and Government Banks: A DSGE Approach

November 2017 4 / 28

3

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト



Motivation

- The literature has not provided an equilibrium model that addresses the effect of government banks in aggregate. Currently such effects are analyzed in partial equilibrium.
- I begin to address this gap by modeling a salient feature of government banks they react differently during recessions by extending loans when non-government banks curtail lending.
- I develop a DSGE model that explores dynamics of the economy when we account for this behavior.

< 回 ト < 三 ト < 三 ト

5 / 28

Introduction

- Until now public and government banks have been treated as the same agent in equilibrium models.
- However, the empirical literature shows important differences: La Porta et al (2002), Levy-Yeyati et al (2004), Sapienza (2004), Micco and Panizza (2006), Micco et al (2007), Altavilla et al (2016)
- Following Aliaga-Diaz and Olivero (2012) I develop a DSGE model with banks.
- In this model I differentiate between private and government banks, taking one of the major differences found in the empirical literature.
- Therefore, in this model, the government works through government banks during recessions by injecting capital that is used to make loans.

- 4 同 6 4 日 6 4 日 6

The Model

- The model is a DSGE economy which is characterized by six types of agents.
- Households with access to the financial market. They are λ percent of the total population.

A B F A B F

November 2017

7 / 28

- Households without access to the financial markets.
- Firms.
- Private banks. They are a ϕ percent of the total banks.
- Government banks.
- The government.

Alexis Montecinos Bravo (MIT Sloan) Private and Government Banks: A DSGE Approach

Ricardian Households

The households with access to the financial market solve:

$$\max_{c_{r,t}, l_{r,t}, D_{t+1}, s_{t+1}} E_0 \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t \frac{\left(c_{r,t} - \frac{l_{r,t}^{\omega}}{\omega}\right)^{1-\theta}}{1-\theta}$$
(1)

s.t.

$$(1+r_t)D_t + w_t l_{r,t} + \left[\frac{div_t^p}{s_t} + p_t\right]s_t \geq c_{r,t} + D_{t+1} + p_t s_{t+1}.$$
 (2)

Alexis Montecinos Bravo (MIT Sloan) Private and Government Banks: A DSGE Approach

November 2017 8 / 28

э

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト



Ricardian Households

Therefore, the optimal equations for them are :

$$I_{r,t}^{\omega-1} = w_t, \tag{3}$$

$$\left(c_{r,t} - \frac{l_{r,t}^{\omega}}{\omega}\right)^{-\theta} = \beta E_t (1 + r_{t+1}) \left(c_{r,t+1} - \frac{l_{r,t+1}^{\omega}}{\omega}\right)^{-\theta}, \qquad (4)$$

$$\left(c_{r,t} - \frac{l_{r,t}^{\omega}}{\omega}\right)^{-\theta} = \beta E_t \frac{1}{p_t} \left[\frac{div_{t+1}^p}{s_{t+1}} + p_{t+1}\right] \left(c_{r,t+1} - \frac{l_{r,t+1}^{\omega}}{\omega}\right)^{-\theta}.$$
 (5)

Alexis Montecinos Bravo (MIT Sloan) Private and Government Banks: A DSGE Approach

November 2017 9 / 28

3



Non-Ricardian Households

The households without access to the financial market solve:

$$\max_{I_{nr,t}} E_0 \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t \frac{\left(c_{nr,t} - \frac{I_{nr,t}^{\omega}}{\omega}\right)^{1-\theta}}{1-\theta}$$
(6)

s.t.

$$w_t l_{nr,t} + tr_t = c_{nr,t}. \tag{7}$$

3

Alexis Montecinos Bravo (MIT Sloan) Private and Government Banks: A DSGE Approach November 2017 10 / 28



Non-Ricardian Households

So the optimal solution is:

$$w_t I_{nr,t} + tr_t = c_{nr,t}. \tag{8}$$

<ロ> (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

3

Alexis Montecinos Bravo (MIT Sloan) Private and Government Banks: A DSGE Approach November 2017 11 / 28

Firms

Firms maximize profits taken prices as given:

$$\max_{I_t, I_t, L_{t+1}} E_0 \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \left[\prod_{j=0}^t \frac{1}{1+r_j} \right] \left[Y_t + L_{t+1} - w_t I_t - (1+i_t)L_t - I_t \right]$$
(9)

s.t.

$$Y_t = A_t K_t^{\alpha} I_t^{1-\alpha}, \tag{10}$$

$$K_{t+1} = (1-\delta)K_t + I_t,$$
 (11)

$$L_{t+1} = K_{t+1}, (12)$$

$$log(A_{t+1}) = \rho log(A_t) + \epsilon_{t+1}, \quad \epsilon_{t+1} \sim N(0, \sigma^2).$$
(13)

Alexis Montecinos Bravo (MIT Sloan) Private and Government Banks: A DSGE Approach

November 2017 12 / 28

3

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト



Firms

Their optimal plan is given by:

$$(1-\alpha)\frac{Y_t}{l_t} = w_t, \tag{14}$$

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

590

13 / 28

3

$$E_t \left[\frac{1}{1 + r_{t+1}} \left(\alpha \frac{Y_{t+1}}{K_{t+1}} - (\delta + i_{t+1}) \right) \right] = 0.$$
 (15)

Alexis Montecinos Bravo (MIT Sloan) Private and Government Banks: A DSGE Approach November 2017

Private Banks

Private banks solve:

$$\max_{D_{t+1}^{p}, L_{t+1}^{p}, RE_{t}^{p}} E_{0} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \prod_{j=0}^{t} q_{j} \left[(1-\tau) (i_{t} L_{t}^{p} + \phi \pi_{t}^{f} - r_{t} D_{t}^{p}) - RE_{t}^{p} \right]$$
(16)

s.t.

$$e_{t+1}^{p} = RE_{t}^{p} + e_{t}^{p},$$
 (17)

$$L_{t+1}^{p} = D_{t+1}^{p} + e_{t+1}^{p}, \qquad (18)$$
$$e_{t+1}^{p} \ge \gamma^{p} L_{t+1}^{p}, \qquad (19)$$

$$\sum_{t+1}^{P} \geq \gamma^{P} \mathcal{L}_{t+1}^{P}.$$
⁽¹⁹⁾

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

990

14 / 28

3

Alexis Montecinos Bravo (MIT Sloan) Private and Government Banks: A DSGE Approach November 2017

Private Banks

So their optimal solution is given by:

$$\gamma^{p} = E_{t}q_{t+1}\left(\gamma^{p} + (1-\tau)[i_{t+1} - (1-\gamma^{p})r_{t+1}]\right).$$
⁽²⁰⁾

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

3

Alexis Montecinos Bravo (MIT Sloan) Private and Government Banks: A DSGE Approach November 2017 15 / 28

Government Banks

Government banks solve:

$$\max_{\substack{D_{t+1}^g, L_{t+1}^g, RE_t^g}} E_0 \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \prod_{j=0}^t q_j \left[(1-\tau) (i_t L_t^g + (1-\phi) \pi_t^f - r_t D_t^g) - RE_t^g \right]$$
(21) s.t.

$$e_{t+1}^{g} = RE_{t}^{g} + e_{t}^{g} + g_{t},$$
 (22)

$$L_{t+1}^{g} = D_{t+1}^{g} + e_{t+1}^{g}, \qquad (23)$$

$$e_{t+1}^g \geq \gamma^g \mathcal{L}_{t+1}^g. \tag{24}$$

Where g_t is an injection of capital coming from the government and is given by the following rule:

$$g_t = \chi(Y_{ss} - Y_t). \tag{25}$$

Alexis Montecinos Bravo (MIT Sloan) Private and Government Banks: A DSGE Approach

į

16 / 28

Government Banks

Hence, the optimal plan is given by:

$$\gamma^{g} = E_{t}q_{t+1}\left(\gamma^{g} + (1-\tau)[i_{t+1} - (1-\gamma^{g})r_{t+1}]\right).$$
(26)

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

As we can see, government banks are very similar to private banks. They maximize the same objective function but differ in one constraint.

Alexis Montecinos Bravo (MIT Sloan) Private and Government Banks: A DSGE Approach November 2017 17 / 28

The Government

The government holds a zero budget balance every period.

$$g_t + tr_t = \tau (i_t L_t - r_t D_t + \pi_t^f) + div_t^g.$$
⁽²⁷⁾

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

3

Alexis Montecinos Bravo (MIT Sloan) Private and Government Banks: A DSGE Approach November 2017 18 / 28

Aggregation

Finally, in equilibrium:

$$c_t = \lambda c_{r,t} + (1 - \lambda) c_{nr,t}, \qquad (28)$$

$$I_t = \lambda I_{r,t} + (1-\lambda)I_{nr,t}, \qquad (29)$$

$$Y_t = c_t + I_t + g_t, \qquad (30)$$

$$L_t = \phi L_t^p + (1 - \phi) L_t^g, \qquad (31)$$

$$L_t = K_t; (32)$$

$$D_t = \phi D_t^p + (1 - \phi) D_t^g.$$
(33)

Alexis Montecinos Bravo (MIT Sloan) Private and Government Banks: A DSGE Approach

3

DQC

Calibration

The parameters used in the paper are:

Table: Parameter values.

| Parameter | Value |
|--------------|-------|
| θ | 3 |
| β | 0.98 |
| ω | 2 |
| α | 0.33 |
| ho | 0.9 |
| δ | 0.1 |
| γ^{p} | 0.1 |
| γ^{g} | 0.1 |
| au | 0.15 |
| χ | 0.125 |
| λ | 0.7 |

Alexis Montecinos Bravo (MIT Sloan) Private and Government Banks: A DSGE Approach

November 2017 20 / 28

3

Output reaction



Figure: Output reaction to a TFP shock for different values for ϕ

Alexis Montecinos Bravo (MIT Sloan) Private and Government Banks: A DSGE Approach November 2017 21 / 28



Consumption reaction



Figure: Consumption reaction to a TFP shock for different values for ϕ

Alexis Montecinos Bravo (MIT Sloan) Private and Government Banks: A DSGE Approach November 2017 22 / 28

Investment reaction



Figure: Investment reaction to a TFP shock for different values for ϕ

Alexis Montecinos Bravo (MIT Sloan) Private and Government Banks: A DSGE Approach November 2017 23 / 28

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

- 31

Sac



Labor reaction



Figure: Labor reaction to a TFP shock for different values for ϕ

Alexis Montecinos Bravo (MIT Sloan) Private and Government Banks: A DSGE Approach November 2017 24 / 28

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

990



Further Research

- The next step is to extend the model to endogenously determine g and tr by using an objective function for the government.
- It is also worth noting that here the government does not care about its debt capacity this is an immediate extension.
- It is important to include the more negative aspects of government banks (e.g. agency and political problems). This would allow us to observe, in a more thorough way, the merits of having stated-owned banks.

- 4 同 6 4 日 6 4 日 6

25 / 28



Conclusions

- We have seen the importance of including public and private banks as two different agents in an equilibrium model. As a matter of fact with a small percentage of public banks, the responses regarding macroeconomic variables are very different in magnitude.
- The reaction of the economy differs from the canonical DSGE with banks when we account for this heterogeneity.
- Modeling the observed behavior of government banks during recessions allows us to see that the recovery of the economy might be less severe depending on the characteristics of the economy.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

26 / 28

• The results depends on how big the government banks are in the aggregate.

| Motivation | Motivation | Motivation | Introduction | The Model | The |
|------------|------------|------------|--------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----|
| | | | | | | | | | | |

Private and Government Banks: A DSGE Approach

Alexis Montecinos Bravo

MIT Sloan School of Management

November 2017

Alexis Montecinos Bravo (MIT Sloan) Private and Government Banks: A DSGE Approach

November 2017 27 / 28

3

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト